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MR. VENEZIA: Roll call, please?
MS. DEGENARO: Robert Venezia?
MR. VEnEZIA: Here.
MS. DEGENARO: Michael Schulz?
MR. SCHULZ: Here.
MS. DEGENARO: Pamela Hughes?
MS. HUGHES: Here.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Santorelli?
MR. SANTORELLI: Here.
MS. DEGENARO: Scott LaMarca?
MR. LAMARCA: Here.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Giglio?
MR. GIGLIO: Here.
MS. DEGENARO: August Stohl?
AUGUST STOHL: Here.
MS. DEGENARO: Gregg Malfa?
MR. MALFA: Here.
MR. VENEZIA: Have the requirements of
the Open Public Meeting Law been met? This is the April 25 th 2019 regular meeting of the West Long Branch Zoning Board of Adjustment.
(Mr. Venezia reads the Open Public
Meeting Act.)
Pledge of Allegiance.
MR. VENEZIA: Can -- hold off on the

Minutes of last meeting?
MS. DEGENARO: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: Items of business tonight
are two variances and two Resolutions. The
first variance up tonight will be the Cannan residence 19 Chestnut Place.

MR. MALFA: Before you begin, can $I$ recuse myself?

MR. IRENE: Sure. Are you within 200 feet or do you know the Applicants?

MR. MALFA: Yes.
MR. IRENE: Both? You are within 200 feet and --

MR. MALFA: I know them.
MR. IRENE: You are going to step down and head on out the back. We have seven. Does anyone have any issues with regard to the Notice materials on the Cannan matter? Let the record reflect no response. The Board has jurisdiction to hear and consider the matter. Are you Ms. Cannan? Would you give us your full name, spell your last and your address for the record, please?
MS. CANNON: Stacy Cannan, C-A-N-N-A-N, 19 Chestnut Place.

MR. IRENE: Before we do that, we had the Cannan residence plan submitted by Michael Savarese, of Michael Savarese Associates consisting of three sheets dated August 15, 2018, and that was submitted with the application. And, Chris Ann, we are going to mark that Exhibit $A-1$, please? Okay. Ms. Cannan?

MS. CANNAN: Okay. We are looking to expand a home. I've been in my house for almost 20 years. I choose to remain in West Long Branch. I have a son in the school system. We are now a blended family and it's only a two-bedroom home so we need additional space.

MR. VENEZIA: Is there any additional items that you are going to be asking for besides the additional space?

MS. CANNAN: No, just adding. MS. HEARD: I don't think they understood the question.

MR. IRENE: Mr. Savarese is here. He is going to be testifying, $I$ assume, in a moment. Why don't we just hold Ms. Cannan and we will bring up Mr. Savarese and give us the professional overview. And, of course, the

Board and any interested parties will be able to ask questions or give comments. We will ask Mr. Savarese to give his full name, his address and we will get his professional credentials.

MR. SAVARESE: My name is Michael
Savarese. I'm with Michael Savarese Architects. We are at 34 Sycamore Avenue, Little Silver, New Jersey. We've been at that address for the last four years. We've been in Long Branch. I've been in business since 1995.
(Michael Savarese, sworn.)
MR. IRENE: You are a Registered
Architect?
MR. SAVARESE: State of New Jersey, State of New York, Washington, Florida.

MR. IRENE: How long in New Jersey?
MR. SAVARESE: My son is 33. Thirty-two years.

MR. IRENE: You've testified before this Board?

MR. SAVARESE: I've testified before this Board, yes. I also sit on the Oceanport Boards.

MR. IRENE: I assume the Board will accept Mr. Savarese's credentials.

MR. VENEZIA: I have no problem.
MR. GIGLIO: I will second that. This is existing, so it's a little clearer. The one you have already is the proposed.

MR. IRENE: So what is being passed out is different than what we marked $A-1$; is that right?

MR. SAVARESE: It clearly shows the existing house.

MR. IRENE: We are showing now what was marked A-2, prepared by Mr. Savarese. How many pages on that one, Mr. Savarese?

MR. SAVARESE: I believe there is three.
MR. IRENE: Three sheets. And what is our date on that?

MR. SAVARESE: 6/5/18.
MR. IRENE: That is going to be Exhibit A-2, Chris Ann.

MR. SAVARESE: The next one is near and dear to your heart, aerial photo.

MS. HEARD: Oh, beautiful.
MR. IRENE: All right. Mr. Savarese is handing up an aerial photograph. Is that Google Earth or Google Maps?

MS. HEARD: Google.

MR. SAVARESE: Google.
MR. IRENE: Is there a date on that?
MS. HEARD: It says 2019 digital
imagery.
MR. IRENE: Digital imagery. That is
going to be A-3, please?
MR. SAVARESE: The last one, photographs of the subject property, six of each, so $I$ may have to share them.

MR. IRENE: A-4 is a set of six photos. MS. HEARD: Three.

MR. IRENE: Three. Three photos of the property. Did you take those, Mr. Savarese? MR. SAVARESE: Yes, a long time ago. MR. IRENE: A long time ago? MR. SAVARESE: About a year-and-a-half ago, yes.

MR. IRENE: You've been out to the property since then?

MR. SAVARESE: Yes, a couple of times. MR. IRENE: Do those photos continue to fairly and accurately depict the property as it sits today?

MR. SAVARESE: To the best of my knowledge, yes.

MR. IRENE: That is A-4, please? Three photographs collectively as A-4.

MR. SAVARESE: So, to get started. I go through the zoning first. The subject property is an R5 property. It's a requirement of 10,000 square foot lot area.

MR. IRENE: R10.
MR. SAVARESE: I'm sorry, R10. R5 is the zoning. The lot area is required to be 10,000. This lot is 6,170. The lot width is required to be a hundred, this lot has 50 feet. The lot depth is supposed to be a hundred feet and this has 118 on one side and 128 on the other side. Front yard is supposed to be 35 feet, existing at 28.81 feet.

The side yards are supposed to be 10
feet. Right now, they are at 8.53 and 4.88. There is no change to that. Both sides are supposed to be 30 and the building height is supposed to be 35. It's at 28.65 and it will be 29.21. The lot coverage for building is allowed to be 30. It's 18.2 now. It will be 28.5. That is about 1,762 square feet. Impervious allowed to be 40 percent and we are going right up to 40 percent, 3,101 square feet.

So the only variances in this
application are all existing variances. Everything else is as of right to the setback, other than on one side, on the east side, we are going over the footprint on the second floor. On west side we are popping back to the 10 -foot setback.

The existing house as an expanded cape, if you will. You walk in the front door, there is a foyer, living room, eating area, kitchen in the back and a bathroom and a sun porch. In the second floor you go up the stairs in the back. There is a bedroom, master bedroom and master bathroom.

The house, as it is from the street, has a Georgian entry on the saltbox cape. It has a shed roof on the back and a side shed roof on the front, on the east side, I should say. I could show you the pictures. That is the front elevation which shows the covered entry and the saltbox cape addition. That is the back of the house which shows the existing deck and that wall will be in filling and popping out a little more to the back.

MR. IRENE: Referring to the photos
previously marked A-4.
MR. SAVARESE: So what we tried to do is take a minimal footprint as possible and give the Cannan house that is usable for a family of four people in it. So what we did is enlarge the kitchen, gave them a nice-sized great room on the first floor and a little nicer mud room. What that amounts to, we are adding 540 feet on the first floor. On the second floor, we have the master bedroom, a real laundry. Her laundry was kind of squat on the original plan. A Nice master bedroom. Left the existing bathroom for the two bedrooms up front. There is no addition to the front of this property. It's all to the rear, so it can't be seen from the street.

MS. HUGHES: I have a question.
MR. SAVARESE: Sure.
MS. HUGHES: Can you review the
impervious surface numbers?
MR. SAVARESE: Sure.
MS. HUGHES: There seems to be something different on the plan.

MR. IRENE: Yeah, the Zoning Officer's
review form also showed proposed at 48.3.
MS. HEARD: The plans that $I$ had have
50.2 .

MS. HUGHES: That's what $I$ have.
MR. IRENE: Hold on.
MR. IRENE: Yes, correct.
MR. SAVARESE: I'm sorry. I read that
wrong. The requirement is 40 percent. The existing is 38.8 percent and the proposed is 50.2 percent. I read it too quickly. As you could see from that, this is the existing house and that is the addition of the house and that is the wood deck that is on the back of the house.

MS. HUGHES: So the square to the left that is clear -- well, $I$ guess that is all deck around.

MR. SAVARESE: In the back?
MS. HUGHES: Yeah. The line section showing the new addition and the area around is a wrap-around deck.

MR. SAVARESE: Wrap-around deck. You have that backyard by the kitchen to the deck and the mudroom.

MS. HUGHES: And this mudroom seems to be expanding over into the asphalt driveway. MR. SAVARESE: No, it's actually the
steps to the covered porch that is expanding into that small driveway, if you could see it. MS. HUGHES: So that makes that driveway now very -MR. SAVARESE: It makes the driveway tight. They don't park the cars in the garage now. Per your Ordinance, they can park two cars on the right-of-way. MR. IRENE: Detached garage in the back? MR. SAVARESE: Yes. MR. IRENE: So how do you get there? MR. SAVARESE: They use it for storage. I mean, we can clip off these extra steps, if that is a problem. This is more convenient for packages for them to park their car here and walk to the steps.

MS. HUGHES: I am looking ahead. I
don't know if anyone else wants to start in a different spot. I am looking at how to get this impervious down from 50 percent because that is 10 full percent over what's allowed in this zone. So, you know, what $I$ am looking to do here is, I mean, it looks like you did, you know, you created a beautiful home, but $I$ think it exceeds a little bit too much.

MR. SAVARESE: Realize part of that impervious is 280 square foot of deck, which is actually pervious because water goes through the deck.

MS. HUGHES: Yeah, it's not the way we look at it in the Town.

MR. SAVARESE: I understand. In reality, the deck is not impervious.

MR. IRENE: It's not whether or not the water can percolate it. It's also the aesthetics. You can have slatted decks that let's water percolate, but would you want somebody to deck deck their whole property?

MR. SAVARESE: No. Our deck is not huge by any of the imagination. This deck is, as far as the living portion of this deck is 22-by-12 at its deepest point.

MR. IRENE: Is that over existing coverage? It looks like there is little dots that looks like it's part of asphalt driveway under there or is that a walkway around it?

MR. SAVARESE: This section of it is over old coverage that they have now. Their existing deck is right here. You could see it here, these dotted lines. This part of the
house is over the old deck, so if you look at coverage-to-coverage, the only new coverage is this section here. This is new in the back.

What you can look at, if you would like, is this section of the deck here, I think, could be just have a step stone out of the door and eliminate this section of the deck back here as a walkway. That is one option. The deck is low to the ground. It's not an obtrusive deck.

MR. VENEZIA: How much would that bring back?

MR. SAVARESE: That would bring back about $13-b y-4$, so 60 square feet.

MS. HEARD: Fifty-two square feet? That is point eight percent.

MS. HUGHES: I have another question
now. I mean, I am assuming the entire asphalt driveway is calculated into the impervious surface.

MR. SAVARESE: Yes, it is.
MS. HUGHES: I don't agree with cutting off access to that garage, because this is a property that will go forward into the future. I kind of feel if you have a garage, you should have proper egress to that garage. But if you
are not using the garage for a car, you can rip up all of that asphalt and you can plant grass there. I mean, that is one way to get up. MR. SAVARESE: That is an option. MS. HEARD: Or like a ribbon driveway that has the.

33: The blocks where the grass grows through.

MS. HEARD: The blocks where the tires go.

MR. SAVARESE: I have no problem with that.

MS. HEARD: In the back.
MR. SAVARESE: Taking this whole section out, I have no problem with that.

MS. HUGHES: This is a big plan and it's a pretty high number. How do the rest of you feel here?

MR. SANTORELLI: I agree.
MS. HUGHES: Is it something you want to
talk about and come back at the next meeting or is this something you want us to help you calculate today?

MR. SAVARESE: I think I would rather.
If the Board is willing to approve this
application with modification, I think they would rather go down that path.

MS. HUGHES: Okay. Let's investigate ways to get this number down.

MR. VENEZIA: What is the number? Is it a total elimination or if it's a two tire path to the back, like taking out probably 60 percent of it.

MR. SAVARESE: We could take out a good 400 square feet of that.

MR. VENEZIA: Excuse me?
MR. SAVARESE: Four hundred square feet of this area can be taken out.

MS. HEARD: That is like 6.48.
MR. GIGLIO: That is a good chunk.
MR. VENEZIA: That is taking it out completely.

MS. HEARD: Now you are at 43-ish. That is taking it out.

MR. VENEZIA: Taking that out, it would be pretty much a shed.

MS. HEARD: Shed.
MR. SAVARESE: The steps can stay so you can drop the packages off.

MR. VENEZIA: In my mind, I thought the
front porch was also going to be pushed out. Is there any addition onto the front porch? MR. IRENE: It's either going to be pushed out or covered, because the proposal -MS. CANNAN: It's going to be enclosed. MR. VENEZIA: So you are going to make it bigger or under the same footprint, same size?

MS. CANNAN: Pretty much the same size. MR. SAVARESE: The section of it is being and pushing it in for the steps going in. So the steps will be closer to the street. MR. VENEZIA: Is that going to take out more calculated into coverage?

MR. SAVARESE: It's already in there.
MR. VENEZIA: And the footage that it
will be out more than it exists now?
MR. SAVARESE: It's four feet for the platform, but the covered section stays the way it is. Existing. It's a platform the way.

MR. VENEZIA: My concern was you were going to knock off the alignment of the street, but actually, you are behind it a little bit.

MR. SAVARESE: We are.
MR. VENEZIA: Just how the street is cut
off.
MS. HEARD: The landing is coming closer to the street.

MR. VENEZIA: You are pretty much with
the extra four feet will be in line with your other two neighbors.

MR. SAVARESE: It's not coverage. It
was the landing. One thing $I$ want to know everybody, as far as this neighbor, if you look the aerial, if you start from the right side, our subject house is the second house in, the one to the right of it is actually about double the size, the one to the left of it is double the size, the one to the left of that is about a third more and then the one across the street our driveway is about double the size. So this house will be more in fitting with the neighborhood, with the addition in the back. MR. IRENE: So, could you give us the total square footage of the dwelling now, please?

MR. SAVARESE: Sure. So the existing house on the first floor is 880 square feet. The new addition is 540 square feet. The total first floor is 1,427. The addition on the
second floor is 512 square feet, a little less than the 540 and plus the original 880.

MR. SCHULZ: Can you hold that thought there?

MR. SAVARESE: Sure.
MR. SCHULZ: On these prints, $I$ don't
see a second floor. The house existing is 880 and the new is 540. The existing is 880.

MR. SAVARESE: Second floor is about
14-and-change.
MR. IRENE: Let's start again, because I have no idea what the numbers are. So let's start again. What is the existing square footage of the existing dwelling, please?

MR. SAVARESE: The existing house on the first floor is 880 square feet. The addition on the first floor is 540 square feet. The total first floor today, as we are proposing it, is 1 , 427 square feet.

MR. IRENE: Second floor.
MR. SAVARESE: The second floor addition is 512 square feet.

MR. IRENE: Is there any existing house?
MR. SAVARESE: Yeah, the existing house on the second floor 572 square feet.

MR. IRENE: And we are adding?
MR. SAVARESE: We are adding 512 square
feet.
MR. IRENE: For a total?
MR. SAVARESE: Of 1,084 square feet.
MR. IRENE: Okay. If we added the
existing first and second floor 1,452; is that right?

MR. SAVARESE: No, 1,427 plus 1,000 --
MR. SANTORELLI: He is asking for the existing house now.

MR. SAVARESE: Total existing. I'm sorry.

MR. IRENE: 1452 .
MR. SAVARESE: Yes.
MR. IRENE: Total proposed after
expansion, both floors. 1,611.
MR. SAVARESE: No.
MR. IRENE: No? That is not right.
MS. HUGHES: 1052 .
MR. IRENE 2,511?
MR. SAVARESE: Yes.
MR. IRENE: So the existing dwelling consists total proposed is expanded would be 2,511.

MR. SAVARESE: Which makes sense with the numbers because you have 540 and 512, about 1,000-square foot addition.

MR. IRENE: With regard to the existing deviations, you are not proposing to alter any of the existing deviations except the side yard setback 8.53 is being extended laterally.

MR. SAVARESE: Correct.
MR. IRENE: So we are extending that laterally and we are also extending that vertically.

MR. SAVARESE: Laterally, we are extending it 11 feet.

MR. IRENE: To the rear.
MR. SAVARESE: To the rear.
MR. IRENE: And vertically for the second floor addition.

MR. SAVARESE: Vertically, it's only a one-story addition at that point. The second floor addition is back.

MR. IRENE: The rear is one story?
MR. SAVARESE: Just that one section where the mudroom is. We tried to keep that down purposely.

MR. IRENE: That's that. The other
being exacerbated is the front yard setback deviation.

MR. SANTORELLI: That is existing.
MR. IRENE: You are coming out with the stairs.

MR. SAVARESE: Just the stairs, right.
MR. IRENE: The newly-enclosed stairs, so we are going from 28.1 to 24.1. What about the stairs in front of that? Are they elevated? Do we have to count those or we don't because they are not covered?

MR. SAVARESE: I believe they are open and not counted.

MR. IRENE: So the 24.1 is measured to the proposed covered front stairs -- porch. Thank you. So other than that, the only other issue is, we also have the vertical exacerbation of the total side yard setback and then the issue of the lot coverage whatever that shakes out to be proposed. Thank you.

MS. HEARD: And the pre-existing
non-conforming lot conditions, lot area, lot width that we're not doing anything with.

MR. IRENE: All of the surrounding properties are developed as a result of your
aerial that we looked at.
MR. SAVARESE: Yes.
MR. IRENE: None of those have any land to give where the lot area and lot width deficiencies could be materially mitigated or eliminated?

MR. SAVARESE: No.
MR. IRENE: Thank you.
MR. SAVARESE: We also reviewed the $T \& M$ letter. We take no objections to it, other than the one question about we took care of the steps. We are going to leave them in. And the downspouts.

> MS. HEARD: Are they going to splash pads?

MR. SAVARESE: They are going to splash pads.

MS. HEARD: Are there any easements or restrictions that would impact the construction?

MR. SAVARESE: No. I think that is our presentation. I understand we are asking for some coverage, but in the scheme of things, we are asking for a usable house for a typical family of four. I don't think the square footage of 2,500 is that much to ask for in

```
reality. And since the neighborhood is
approximately that size now, I think it is a
good-looking addition from the rear and it
really can't be seen from the street.
    MR. IRENE: Where did we leave the
modified proposed lot coverage?
    MR. SAVARESE: Somewhere about 43
    percent.
    MR. STOHL: 42.9.
    MS. HEARD: I would round that to 49. I
    wouldn't be that specific.
    MR. STOHL: I'm pretty good with math.
    MR. IRENE: The existing now is 38.8; is
    that right?
    MR. SAVARESE: Yes.
        MS. HEARD: Yes.
        MR. VENEZIA: Ah, 39.
        MS. HEARD: Well, just in case we made a
    little mistake here or there in scaling.
    MR. STOHL: You might have made a
    mistake.
    MS. HEARD: I know. You are very
    specific.
    MR. VENEZIA: This would eliminate the
    driveway going back.
```

MS. HEARD: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: From what point, from the street?

MR. SAVARESE: No, from the steps. It's nice, we would give them more green space in the backyard.

MS. HUGHES: Didn't that eliminate the side?

MR. SAVARESE: Yes, we are eliminating this walk here.

MR. VENEZIA: So the question to the Board is, if you see fit to grant the relief, does the Board care where the coverage comes from as long as they achieve the modified amount of 43 percent?

MR. SANTORELLI: No.
MR. VENEZIA: I have no problem with
that.
MR. IRENE: That's what $I$ just want to know.

MR. SAVARESE: You could take it from the deck a little more and tweak it.

MR. IRENE: Right. You may want to move some here and some there. If it's the coverage number you are concerned about.

MR. SANTORELLI: I think $I$ am okay with
that.
MS. HUGHES: Wait. Wait. One of the points was the walkway on the side, you are further exasperating the setback.

MR. SANTORELLI: Because you are 4.88 on that right side.

MR. IRENE: That is on the ground.
MR. SAVARESE: Those steps don't count.
MR. SANTORELLI: No, she is talking about the walkway. How high was that deck? How high is that off.

MR. SAVARESE: Eighteen inches.
MR. IRENE: Are we talking about
adjacent to the 4.88 setback mark?
MS. HUGHES: Yes.
MR. SANTORELLI: Where he put the red stroke.

MR. IRENE: There is nothing there. Am I looking at the wrong plan?

MR. SANTORELLI: It is proposed. The proposed walk to the deck.

MR. SAVARESE: You could see it right here on the proposed elevation.

MR. IRENE: On the east side?

MR. SAVARESE: They are on the west side now.

MS. HUGHES: Oh, no. I am on the east side.

MR. SAVARESE: On the east side, there is nothing there.

MR. IRENE: That is an existing what
looks like a flagstone.
MS. HUGHES: Isn't that a deck?
MR. SANTORELLI: I thought this was a piece of the deck here, Mike.

MR. IRENE: That is not on the plot plan on the first page.

MR. SAVARESE: That is the existing deck that you could see.

MS. HEARD: It's there. It's not
shaded. That is the problem.
MS. HUGHES: Do you see it, Mike?
MR. IRENE: It's not on the plot plan on the first page.

MR. IRENE: What sheet is that?
MS. HUGHES: SD 31.
MR. SAVARESE: It's on there with the dotted line.

MR. LAMARCA: Proposed deck.

MR. IRENE: Oh, I thought that was a setback line. Does the Board want, as part of the reduction, do you want that to go away so it conforms?

MS. HUGHES: Well, I think that that helps, because you are not exasperating that setback.

MR. IRENE: Otherwise, you would be exacerbating.

MR. SANTORELLI: That way, you are getting your 10 feet without that walk there.

MS. HEARD: Yeah, but the back part of the deck would only have the setback of 4.88 .

MR. IRENE: Why?
MS. HEARD: Because that's beyond the red part.

MR. IRENE: Unless you run it all the way back.

MR. SAVARSE: Unless we run it all the way back and shift the deck a little bit.

MS. HUGHES: Oh, that's what $I$ was thinking, that that whole piece would come out.

MR. SAVARESE: It shows it on the elevation.

MR. IRENE: At some point, if the Board
sees fit to grant the relief, you will have to decide if you want to include that piece being removed as part of the reduction in the proposed coverage or not or you can simply say you don't want them to exacerbate the 4.88 side yard setback.

MR. SANTORELLI: I prefer that. But like $I$ said, if he does shift the deck, as long as we have that 43 percent, I think I would be satisfied with 43 percent lot coverage. If the deck shifts over, it's not exacerbate the 4.88 setback, if you get the $10-f \circ o t$.

MS. HEARD: In other words, what you are saying is, any deck or any addition has to conform to the minimum 10 -foot setback.

MR. SANTORELLI: On that right-hand side.

MS. HUGHES: Yeah.
MR. VENEZIA: Do you understand that?
MS. CANNAN: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: Would you be in agreement with that?

MS. CANNAN: Yes, uh-huh.
MR. SANTORELLI: You can shift it to
your left. As long as we have the 43, you are
not going to lose the full width on the deck. It will just shift over.

MR. VENEZIA: Will we remain with the 43
percent and then they can do adjustments with that?

MR. SANTORELLI: They can adjust the deck, so we can fit into that 43 percent. MR. SAVARESE: In a sense, we are losing lounge space here, but we can push it back a little.

MR. SANTORELLI: Yeah, you can adjust it.

MR. SAVARESE: That is fine. It works.
MS. HUGHES: We would still remain at that 43 number.

MR. SAVARESE: Yes.
MR. SANTORELLI: Yes, just rearrange.
MR. VENEZIA: The setback would be
maintained.
MR. IRENE: On the east side, all right?
MS. HUGHES: On the east side.
MR. VENEZIA: Is there anyone in the audience that would like to say anything on this? Ask any questions? Comments?

MR. IRENE: This is 19 Chestnut. There
is a fair amount of people sitting here. Is there any questions for Mrs. Cannan or Mr. Savarese, now is the time to ask him or if anyone wants to make any comments. Let the record reflect there is no response. Any questions from the Board?

MR. VENEZIA: I think there is one.
MR. SCHULZ: The impervious coverage was 40 and then they went to 50 percent. Help me with my math, how much is 50 percent more than 40 percent? It's not 10 percent.

MR. IRENE: No.
MS. HEARD: It's how many square feet.
MR. SCHULZ: No, in percentage. Forty
into 50, it's not 10?
MR. IRENE: Take 50 and divide it by 40.
MR. SAVARESE: It's around 600 square
feet.
MR. SCHULZ: Just to help me, is it 10
percent over 40?
MS. HEARD: Well, it's 10 percent more.
MR. IRENE: Ten points more.
MS. HEARD: Twenty-five percent increase. Round number.

MR. SCHULZ: Ten percent. It is a lot
more than 10 percent.
MS. HEARD: Uh-huh.
MR. SCHULZ: One other question, Mr.
Irene, we talked about this before, $T \& M$
recommendation letter, it talks about the owner should repair all of the sidewalks. And then, in the Resolutions, it says, the owner must repair all of the sidewalks.

MS. HEARD: Right, because we make the recommendation to the Board. The Board would be the one to say yes. I mean, you guys can say, no, you don't have to do that or usually when, and that is why Mike's Resolutions would be in the affirmative where mine would be more making a recommendation.

MR. IRENE: We do two things in the Resolution, one, generally, unless something else is going on in particular application, one generally says, if applicant is going to comply with any and all requirements and
recommendations in the Board Engineer's report which is one. And then $I$ generally call out separately out of an abundance of caution that language that the Applicant is going to repair or replace the sidewalk and curb that is damaged
either that is damaged or that is damaged as part of the construction to the satisfaction of the Borough Engineer, so it's covered.

MR. SCHULZ: I just wish the owner would
know about, must repair, prior to the final
Resolution. They have down for sidewalks $\$ 5,000$. The final Resolution, it says, they must repair it. They can say, well, during this hearing --

MR. IRENE: It's in the report, number one. They've got the report. Number two, if the Board denies the relief, it doesn't matter. Subject to property maintenance codes, there is no book to require the applicant to fix their sidewalk. If the Board grants the relief, those conditions will be there and any other conditions that the Board imposes as result of the approvals granted, such as, changing the plans to show the lot coverage reduced to no more than 43 percent, such as changing the plans to show that any expansion of the dwelling on the east side or dwelling or improvements on the east side will conform to the 10-foot required setback. So those things would all be incorporated as conditions as a result of any
approval that the Board grants. If the Board denies it, there are no conditions. Follow me?

MR. SCHULZ: Okay.
MR. IRENE: Okay.
MR. SCHULZ: Okay.
MS. HUGHES: Okay. So I would just like
to say one other thing. You are building this
awesome house for your family.
MS. CANNAN: Uh-huh.
MS. HUGHES: Be aware that if you want to come back and ask for a pool. MR. VENEZIA: Or a playground. MS. CANNAN: That is not happening. MS. HUGHES: I would just say, think. You might want to modify.

MS. CANNAN: We're not putting a pool in.

MR. IRENE: One of these issues with the lot coverage situations, particularly when people start removing a driveway that leads to a garage and some of these folks know about it. I got this garage, let me pave it. I'm going to assume anybody doing paving in town, they need permits.

MS. HEARD: Technically, yes. That
doesn't always happen.
MR. IRENE: Right. We can't enforce what people do when they don't do what they are supposed to do. Assuming permits are required and presumably before they get that permit, it would go through zoning compliance and the Zoning Officer would knock it down because it would be over on coverage. It just creates problems because when you look at a garage, and say, well, $I$ can pave my driveway and run it to the garage. These kind of things create issues.

MS. HUGHES: That would be a problem when they sold the house, because we would look at the -- maybe not.

MR. SAVARESE: They could change the front to ribbons. They could use pervious pavers in the back.

MS. HEARD: Pervious pavers still count as coverage.

MR. SANTORELLI: The stairs in the way, too, that make it difficult.

MS. HUGHES: I hear what you are saying.
MR. IRENE: One of the issues with the coverage, to, quite frankly, the lot is only 60 percent of the required lot coverage. So to try
to get a reasonably sized home on the property.
MR. SAVARESE: If the lot were the correct size, obviously, we wouldn't be here.

MS. HUGHES: I agree. At 2,500 square feet is not, you know, 4,000 square feet, yeah. MS. CANNAN: Right.

MR. IRENE: To some degree, the hardship that results results from the existing size of the lot.

MR. SAVARESE: Correct.
MR. IRENE: By modern standards, you
know, modern dwellings. Unless there is any more questions from the Board, is there anything else from the Applicant?

MR. SAVARESE: I think that is it. I think we put forward a pleasing design that would have these people in the house for many years to come. I think it is in fitting with the neighborhood. It was the right thing to do as far as they were concerned.

MR. VENEZIA: Mrs. Heard, any more comments from you?

MS. HEARD: Nope.
MR. IRENE: Open to the public.
MR. VENEZIA: Open back to the public,
if anyone else has any questions on it.
MR. IRENE: Last call? Any questions or comments? Let the record reflect none.

MR. VENEZIA: From the Board, do we have any motion?

MS. HUGHES: I would make a motion to accept the application with the, with the, what is the word?

MR. IRENE: Subject to the conditions.
MS. HUGHES: Subject to the conditions that we've agreed upon which is 43 percent lot coverage maintaining the 4. -- remaining the $10-f o o t$ side setback on the east side.

MR. IRENE: And subject to the Applicant complying with any requirements, any and all requirements or recommendations set forth in the Board Engineer's review letter.

MR. STOHL: I will second that.
MS. DEGENARO: Robert Venezia?
MR. VENEZIA: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Michael Schulz?
MR. SCHULZ: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Pamela Hughes?
MS. HUGHES: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Santorelli?

MR. SANTORELLI: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Scott LaMarca?
MR. LAMARCA: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Giglio?
MR. GIGLIO: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: August Stohl?
MR. STOHL: Yes.
MR. IRENE: It carries. Thank you.
Anything that is what marked, $I$ think we have the exhibits, if there is anything floating around, please leave it with Chris Ann. I think we have them all. Thank you.

MR. VENEZIA: Next on the agenda is a variance for 74 Summers Avenue.

MR. IRENE: Let the record reflect that Mr. Schulz is recusing himself on this matter and Mr. Malfa has rejoined the Board. We are back to seven. This is the Dos Santos matter 74 Summers Avenue. The Notice materials were in the file. They appear to be in order. Does anybody have any questions or problems with regard to the Notice materials on Dos Santos? Not the merits of the application. Any problems with the Notice? Let the record reflect no response.
(Bonnie Heard, P.E., sworn.)
MR. IRENE: Sir, you are Mr. Dos Santos?
THE WITNESS: No, I am the husband.
MR. IRENE: You are the husband. Could
you give us your full name and spell your last
and your address for the record, please?
THE WITNESS: Julio Caesar Severio, 74
Summers Avenue.
MR. IRENE: I'm sorry, sir. Your last name, please? $S-E-V-E-R-I-O$ ?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
MR. IRENE: 74 Summers.
(Julio Severio, sworn.)
MR. IRENE: Okay. So the application, I
think, was in the name of Celene Dos Santos. MR. SEVERIO: Yes, that is my wife.

MR. IRENE: That is your wife. You own
the property together with Ms. Dos Santos?
MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. IRENE: We had a pool variance plan
prepared by Robert C. Burdick, B-U-R-D-I-C-K, P.E. and Stanley Hans, H-A-N-S, Jr., PLS consisting of one sheet dated September 27, 2018. We are going to mark that Exhibit A, please? Mr. Severio, do you want to tell the

Board what the application is all about?
MR. SEVERIO: Well, basically, just
trying to put a pool in the backyard for my kids and the wife.

MR. IRENE: Looking at the plan that was submitted and the application, and you correct me if $I$ am wrong, but it sounds like you are seeking relief. There is some existing deviation with regard to the property itself. So the lot area is only 15,000 square feet where the 22,500 is required, correct? The lot width is 100 feet where 150 feet is required. You also have an existing total side yard setback deviation for the house because I guess the setbacks for the house total 43.1 feet where 50 feet is otherwise required. Those are all existing conditions. You are not proposing to change any of those, right?

MR. SEVERIO: Well, I don't think we can change any of those.

MR. IRENE: Right. That's what I am saying. This application has nothing to do with those pre-existing conditions.

MR. SEVERIO: No.
MR. IRENE: Now, the reason you are here
tonight is because the proposed pool is going to take the existing lot coverage of 42.5 percent and increase it to 48.8 percent where 30 percent is the maximum required, is that right, that is the variance you need for the lot coverage? MR. SEVERIO: Yes.

MR. IRENE: There was also a reference to a shed setback.

MS. HEARD: The shed, the existing shed is non-conforming. If you look on the west side of the dwelling by the the garage, I guess,. MR. IRENE: Uh-huh.

MS. HEARD: That shed does not have the required 10 foot setback that it is supposed to have.

MR. IRENE: Okay. Do we know what the setback is?

MS. HEARD: I can scale it off for you.
MR. IRENE: Or is it simply less than 10
feet?
MR. SANTORELLI: Five or six feet.
MS. HUGHES: Not even.
MS. HEARD: Five-and-a-half.
MR. SEVERIO: It's supposed to be six, no?

MR. IRENE: It's supposed to be 10 .
MR. IRENE: But that setback on the side of the house is only --

MS. HEARD: The house is 20 .
MR. IRENE: Right. What is the width of
the shed? Ten?
MR. SEVERIO: When she make the plan, you know, I made a mistake, because I went a little bit too high. They told me, you know, I had to be six feet from my neighbor and right now I am like five, five and three, five four. MS. HEARD: How high is the shed? MR. SEVERIO: Twelve.

MS. HEARD: It is the height of the shed.

MR. IRENE: Somebody wrote on the plans that they thought it was half the height.

MR. SEVERIO: That's what $I$ thought it was. I submit a plan. They check the footings and everything and everything was fine until the final inspection and then $I$ guess when he look at the shed -- the driveway, well, the driveway when my neighbor put a new driveway in there, they asked me his driveway a little bit on my side which $I$ said it was fine with me and he did
it, but when he looked on the front of the shed in the driveway, it looks very close, but it's actually about five-and-a-half feet away from the property line.

MR. IRENE: Did you get permits for the shed?

MR. SEVERIO: Yeah.
MR. IRENE: This application isn't proposing to change the shed. You are not doing anything with the shed as a result of this. It's there.

MR. SEVERIO: Oh, the shed is there. I am just saying, $I$ don't know if it has anything to do with the pool right now.

MR. IRENE: Right. The shed exists.
You are not proposing to do anything with the shed. It's there.

MR. SEVERIO: I still got no approval
for the final.
MR. VENEZIA: No. There was a stop construction notice on there.

MR. IRENE: Okay. That is the problem with the shed then. You do need relief for the shed or it does need to be made to conform. How wide is the shed?

MR. SEVERIO: It's 10-by-20.
MR. IRENE: It's 10 feet --
MR. SEVERIO: Wide by 20 feet long.
MR. IRENE: How high was it?
MR. SEVERIO: Twelve.
MR. IRENE: Okay. Well, you can't get
to 12 feet because it's only 20 -foot wide. It's
10 feet wide and there is only 20 feet of space there.

MS. HEARD: Uh-huh.
MR. IRENE: How far is it set back from the house?

MR. VENEZIA: It's very close.
MS. HUGHES: Yeah, four feet, five feet.
MS. HEARD: It's four-and-a-half. That
would make sense because it's 10 feet wide and 5-and-a-half on one side. That was kind of a dumb thing for me to scale.

MR. SANTORELLI: How is this shed? What is it set on? Is it set on a concrete slab?

MR. SEVERIO: Piles.
MR. VENEZIA: Are you putting this pool
variance plan into evidence as an exhibit?
MS. HEARD: It was marked as A-1.
MR. VENEZIA: I do have a question on
that. With the existing shed, I recall several times going passed there and looking at it. It's closer to the front of house than it is shown on the plan here.

MR. SEVERIO: It's exactly the way it is. The driveway on the side of the house, it actually goes back. It's exactly the way it is on the plan. The one that you have over there right now, it's exactly how it is. There is a driveway on the side of the house. If you stand on the front of the house on the driveway, it goes back. The shed sits almost flush to the back of the house.

MR. VENEZIA: To the back of the house.
MR. SEVERIO: Yeah, to the back of the house. Not to the front of the house.

MR. VENEZIA: And I have, my recollection from looking at it, it was closer to the front.

MR. SEVERIO: To the front, no, you are wrong. It's close to the back.

MS. HUGHES: My issue is the amount of impervious surface. Does anybody else have a concern about that?

MR. VENEZIA: I have a big concern. If
there is a built-in pool, right?
MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: Not above ground.
MR. SEVERIO: Built-in.
MR. VENEZIA: There is always water
coming out of your property. There has been a hose there.

MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: As long as $I$ can remember. MR. SEVERIO: This year the biggest problem is this year, but, you know, last year, you know, every once in a while, $I$ had to do it, because I have two pumps running and they do run. I do have a lot of water there. When I built the house, I made a mistake. I didn't know. I went too low. I should have raised the house a little bit, but too late now.

MR. IRENE: For sump pumps?
MS. HUGHES: Yeah, I was there and there was water coming out, yeah.

MR. SEVERIO: It stops when it gets hot now, it stops, you know, but right now, yes.

MR. VENEZIA: Bonnie, if he put a pool in there, would it raise the water table in the neighborhood? Would the neighbors have
problems?
MS. HEARD: I don't know what the groundwater elevations really are.

MR. SEVERIO: The pool is not going to be that deep. I don't have no trampoline or anything like that. It's only going to be like five or something. I don't remember exactly. Right now, my basement is about 12.

MR. VENEZIA: Oh, your basement?
MR. SEVERIO: It's very deep. I am too
low. I made a mistake. I should have went up, but it's done is done, so. The pool, I don't think the pool is going to have that problem, because we're not going to go that deep.

MS. HUGHES: The problem may be, if you put in the pool, will that change the water flow and will your neighbors get water?

MR. VENEZIA: Yeah, that is my contention.

MS. HUGHES: That is a lot of impervious surface you are adding. I don't know the elevation. I did walk your property.

MR. SEVERIO: I remember. I remember seeing you.

MS. HUGHES: But it does look like the
person in back of you is a little bit lower than you.

MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MS. HUGHES: So that water might then go into his yard, like because now all of a sudden this big rectangle is impervious, so water that ground might have been soaking in is not going to soak in. It's going to run around. So which might mean that we would need a drainage plan.

MR. IRENE: Well, that is right. When
your engineer, yeah, your engineer Mr. Burdick laid out the plan, one of two things, did he explore ways with you of reducing the total coverage proposed coverage or did he propose some kind of storm water manage drain plan? Sometimes what they will do is, they will put in either a swale along the back or they will put in --

MS. HEARD: Well, they have a shallow swale, but they don't have anything for storm water management. They don't have the recharge, dry wells or anything like that. It's not going to work because you have to be two feet above the seasonal high.

MR. LAMARCA: Can $I$ just ask a question?

Does this supersede anything? Should you kind of wait to clear this up before you open up another can of worms?

MR. IRENE: The reference is to a stop construction notice that $I$ guess was in the file. Is that with regard to the shed? Is that with regard to the shed the stop work?

MR. LAMARCA: The stop work notice for the shed, like is there an issue with that?

MR. IRENE: I assume there is two things.

MR. SEVERIO: Two things that we are talking about right now, you know, I was under the impression that $I$ had to be six feet from my neighbor.

MR. LAMARCA: Right.
MR. SEVERIO: Which, right now, I am not quite six, but other than that, the rest is --

MR. IRENE: So there is two things, apparently, he needs a variance.

MR. LAMARCA: Okay.
MR. IRENE: For the setbacks. I don't know if that's why he was stopped. If he gets the variance then the stop goes away. If the stop had anything to do with construction
itself, it's got nothing to do with us. I am going to assume at the very least it pertained to the deficient setback, but I don't know.

MS. HUGHES: Isn't that us?
MR. IRENE: Right. That is what I am
saying. If $I$ start to build my garage too close to the property line and the Zoning Officer comes out or the construction official comes out, and says, I am stopping your construction on that because you are too close to the property line. I apply to the Board. If I get a variance, they lift the stop work order, because that is the only reason they impose it. If $I$ don't get a variance, $I$ have to move the garage.

MR. SEVERIO: He only stopped me after the shed was already done. The forms and everything, all of the foundation -- not the foundation, but the piles, everything was there. He never said anything until the actual shed was already done. He said to me go ahead and do the whole thing, put the windows, put roofing, put everything, don't put the siding. I just want to check the sheathing. I said, that's fine. When he came back, I wasn't there. I am
assuming he looked at the front of the shed and his driveway and does look very close, but, like I said, just because, you know, my neighbor moved his driveway a little bit and that is why it looked so close.

MR. VENEZIA: Who was this person you are referring to? Was it the code enforcement officer?

MR. SEVERIO: The inspector for Long Branch, you know, that's where $I$ got the permit from.

MR. SANTORELLI: You hadn't gotten permits to start the shed.

MR. SEVERIO: Oh, yes, I did.
MR. SANTORELLI: How did you go through zoning for the placement of the shed?

MR. SEVERIO: I talked to, um, what is his name? $I$ forget his name.

MR. IRENE: Jim?
MR. SEVERIO: Yeah, Jim Miller. He told
me to go to Long Branch and that's what I did.
MR. IRENE: Well, Jim is the Zoning Officer, but $I$ guess Long Branch does the construction. Shared services. I guess the question is, did anyone know where it was
located? Did someone make a mistake? My understanding is, and $I$ could be wrong, Bonnie is going to correct me. You have to be set back

10 feet or the height of the accessory structure.

MS. HEARD: It's the height of the structure. It just not say 10 -foot minimum.

MR. IRENE: In this case, if it's 12 feet tall, you would have to be set back 10 feet.

MS. HEARD: Twelve. I'm sorry. For some reason, on the plan, and maybe this was part of the problem, it looks like your engineer thought that it was half the height. That's what he wrote on here which may have been the reason that it was set back six feet, rather than 12. He has got required half the height. Do you see that? He's got, conform. Conform. It should be the height which is non-conform. Non-conform.

MR. SEVERIO: The shed was done way before anything else. He did that. He made this, you know, after the shed was already there. I am just saying.

MR. IRENE: I don't know. Where you
look at the zoning table there.
MR. SEVERIO: Okay.
MR. IRENE: He got the shed conforms because it is set back half the height. That may be where the error is. I don't know.

MR. SEVERIO: I submit the plan for the shed, you know, I specifically, you know, put it in exactly where the shed was going to be and the size. They told me if it was 10-by-10, I didn't have to have a permit, but because it was 10-by-20 that's why $I$ had to have permit.

MS. HEARD: That is the construction
requirement. Not a zoning requirement. If it's under a hundred square feet, the building department does not require a permit.

MR. IRENE: Okay.
MR. SEVERIO: I was going to buy a shed that was already made $10-b y-10$, but $I$ decided to build one because it was too small for me.

MR. VENEZIA: Are you the -- did you build that house?

MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: You made mention.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: How did that house get
approved, first of all? You already were beyond, you know, the impervious coverage as you put the house in there. Did you come and get a variance through us?

MR. SEVERIO: Yes, sir.
MR. VENEZIA: How long ago was that?
MR. SEVERIO: Twelve years ago.
MS. HUGHES: And the driveway was built
with the house?
MR. IRENE: Did we have coverage
limitations 12 years ago?
MS. HEARD: No.
MR. VENEZIA: That satisfies that.
MS. HEARD: There was 2005 or 2007 that the coverage went in.

MR. IRENE: When did you build the
house?
MR. SEVERIO: Twelve years ago.
MR. VENEZIA: 2007 .
MR. SEVERIO: Yeah.
MR. GIGLIO: Probably caught the end of it.

MR. VENEZIA: That threw me off the bat. I see this and it's already in violation of the house. Okay.

MS. HEARD: Before the impervious coverage was in, it was a maximum 30 percent building coverage. It didn't matter. You could pave the whole yard.

MR. VENEZIA: Okay.
MR. IRENE: You remember coming to the
Board for a lot coverage variance?
MR. SEVERIO: Yes, I did. When I showed the first plan, they didn't approve and then that's why I had to go for a variance.

MR. VENEZIA: All right. That solves that for me. I still have a problem with that water, though, with the water being pumped out to the street through your other neighbors. Is there any questions, any further questions in here before we go to the public?

MS. HUGHES: I mean, I have a comment, I guess, about this big tree. If anyone walked the property, that big tree that you have in the backyard there?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
MS. HUGHES: That is on your property.
MR. SEVERIO: Kind of half way.
MS. HUGHES: I think what we need to do is we need to figure out how to protect that
tree.
MR. SEVERIO: That tree is not going to be touched.

MS. HUGHES: Yeah, but you are putting in a fence, right?

MR. SEVERIO: I would just go around it.
MS. HUGHES: Putting in for a fence wouldn't interfere at all?

MR. SEVERIO: I don't think so.
MR. VENEZIA: Saving the tree.
MR. SEVERIO: I don't have no intention to do anything with the tree.

MR. IRENE: Where is the tree located?
MS. HUGHES: The tree is located, if you take the left side of the house which --

MR. SEVERIO: Do you see the outside on the plan, that corner of the octagon to the left.

MR. IRENE: Large tree at southeast quadrant of the rear yard to be preserved. Large tree, possibly a Beech. Southeast, yes? Southeast quadrant of the yard to be preserved. MS. HUGHES: Yeah.

MR. VENEZIA: Once again, you said you are not on a crawl space. You are on a 12-foot
basement?
MR. SEVERIO: I would say about 12,
yeah. Eleven. Somewhere in there.
MR. VENEZIA: That is where you started hitting the water down that way.

MR. SEVERIO: The only water problem is on the front side of the house, left side, the front left side, you know, it seems like, you know, the lower part. I do have another pump on the right side. There is nothing there. There is no pumping in there. The one on the left side, that is the one that works.

MR. IRENE: Is it directed to the asphalt?

MR. SEVERIO: To the street.
MR. IRENE: Across the asphalt or across the grass?

MR. VENEZIA: It is a hose, right?
MR. SEVERIO: Right on the corner of the grass, yeah.

MR. IRENE: I thought the storm water requirements was to run it across the green area to get it to recharge into the water and not put it right on the pavement.

MR. SEVERIO: If you guys have a
suggestion.
MR. IRENE: I don't want to do anything that may disrupt.

MS. HEARD: This doesn't meet the definition of major development, so it's exempt from the storm water regulations. You need one acre of disturbance or 10 feet of new disturbance and his whole lot is 15,000 square feet.

MR. IRENE: Not to cause problems for the neighbors on that east side, but rather than having it run across the payment into the storm drain whether or not it should go over to grass surface maybe to get down into the ground. I don't know.

MR. SEVERIO: I feel like if $I$ do that it will keep pumping. Now, if the water goes in there, it will keep pumping. I am doing that anyway.

MR. VENEZIA: Any other comments right now before we open it up to the public?

MR. STOHL: I am still having a problem with the --

MR. VENEZIA: Anybody in the audience to say anything?

MR. IRENE: Give us your name and
address.
MS. RICH: Jacqueline Rich, 264 Monmouth
Road. I am the neighbor.
MR. IRENE: You are the neighbor. This
is on Summers.
MS. RICH: Yeah, I'm right behind him.
MR. IRENE: You are the neighbor to the rear.
(Jacqueline Rich, sworn.
MR. IRENE: Okay. Ma'am, do you have questions or comments?

MS. RICH: Yes. Yes. I have pictures and information about the -- can $I$ defer to Frank to tell you?

MR. IRENE: You can do whatever you like.

MS. RICH: Okay. This is Frank.
MR. IRENE: Are you -- do you live at
264 ?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes.
MR. IRENE: Give us your name?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Frank Scatuorchio. Do
you want me to spell it?
MR. IRENE: Yes, please?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: S-C-A-T-U-O-R-C-H-I-O.
MR. IRENE: You reside at 264 Monmouth.
(Frank Scatuorchio, sworn).
MR. IRENE: So, in the set, how many
photos are there?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Three or four. I
don't remember right this second.
MR. IRENE: All right. Let's see.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Do you want me to keep
standing or could I sit?
MR. IRENE: You could sit. You have to
keep your voice up.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Okay.
MR. IRENE: Wait a minute. Hold on a second, Mr. Scatuorchio. I got other stuff here. I have photographs.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Right.
MR. IRENE: I've got a map or a copy of the plan.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes.
MR. IRENE: And it looks something like questions. Is that your outline?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: That is my outline.
MR. IRENE: You are going to tell us about this.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes, I am. Didn't know if they needed one.

MR. IRENE: Thank you.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: The plan shows in
highlighter the location of the tree.
MR. IRENE: All right. Do me a favor, please? OFS-1 is a photocopy of part of $A-1$. We highlighted the location of a tree.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Correct.
MR. IRENE: MR. SEVERIO?
MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. IRENE: Can you see the highlighted location of the tree? I want you to look at that. Have a chance to look at that; is that accurate?

MR. SEVERIO: Yeah, pretty much. It's actually more to the left.

MR. IRENE: Close.
MR. SEVERIO: Somewhere in there.
MR. IRENE: We are going to mark that as OFS, and that is Mr. Scatuorchio's initials with O, for, Objector, even though it is an interested party. And that is the tree we are referring to earlier about, the one you are going to preserve.

MR. SEVERIO: Yeah. I don't have no intention, unless he wants to take them out. I am not taking them out.

MR. IRENE: Is that the tree you are concerned about, Mr. Scatuorchio?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes, sir.
MR. IRENE: Is that why you marked it?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes, sir.
MR. IRENE: Is there anything else you want to tell the Board about that plan or was that the purpose of that, to show the tree and you want that preserved also? Is that it?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: We want to preserve, yes.

MR. IRENE: Okay.
MR. VENEZIA: Is that your only concern, is the tree?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: No.
MS. HEARD: Before we move away from the tree, do you want to mark the photos, too?

MR. IRENE: Yep. I wanted to see what that was in my hand for. Okay. You also gave us a set of photographs, four photos. We are going to mark that group collectively of OFS-2, four photos. Is that the rear of the subject
property?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes, sir.
MR. IRENE: Did you take the
photographs?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: I did.
MR. IRENE: Photographs. When did you
take those?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Earlier this week, I
believe it was.
MR. IRENE: So they fairly and accurately depict the condition of the property?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Absolutely.
MR. IRENE: I am going to mark that OFS 2 and pass it around. Tell us about those photographs.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Just the photographs.
MR. IRENE: While we mark that, why don't you go with that first?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Regarding the tree, I am most concerned about two aspects. First of all, is the digging -- according to the map, the excavation will be 10 feet from the property line. The Applicant is slightly mistaken, $I$ believe, regarding the portion of the trees. About 50 percent. When $I$ eyeball the property
line, I've been there quite a few years, I am more like 90 percent my side of the tree, five percent on his.

What I am worried about is the root cutting, because the umbrella of the tree, the drip line extends, approximately, 25 feet into the Applicant's property. This tree is in the neighborhood of 65 feet tall. Its umbrella is quite extensive and $I$ am worried about roots getting compromised, at some point, from the excavation. Displacing the water. This is a fresh thought to my mind, as you are talking about the water issues in the area, water displacement could impede the receding of the water causing root rot.

And the other issue $I$ have or concern $I$ have about the tree is that it is a wonderful tree, as the Applicant has agreed. There is one, but it is a dirty thing. It is big. It drops tons of leaves and every little bit of twigs and such that drops every year. My concern his pool is going to be right underneath most of the umbrella of this tree and it is going to dump a lot of stuff into it.

MR. SEVERIO: Not my problem. Your
problem.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: It is a problem. You are right. I would have to deal with that eventuality. I would not want to see the tree cut to the property line. If you decide to approve the application, I don't know if this is allowed, a proviso it does not get cut at any point no matter how much stuff it dumped.

MR. IRENE: If it is his tree, I suppose he can do what he wants with it, unless the Board says that he can't. If it's your tree, but it overhangs his property and I think, but it's been a long time since $I$ studied tree law, but my recollection is that he can trim the overhang to the the property line, if he wants.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: And I am not going to argue that point with you, sir. No. No.

MR. IRENE: I am trying to remember. MR. GIGLIO: That is correct. MR. SCATUORCHIO: It is correct. My concerns there, it could overweight the tree on one side and ruin the cosmetic symmetry. If you can see that picture, I haven't touched that tree in 30 years and it is gorgeous.

MR. IRENE: If he has a right to do
that. I am saying if.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: You are the lawyer.
MR. IRENE: No. No. Could we condition an approval of a certain degree of excess lot coverage on not trimming the tree that he has a right to trim? I am just asking the question.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: This is all about negotiation, isn't it? You are raising the question. I don't know.

MR. IRENE: I don't know if I would call it negotiation. It's more about whether the Board might grant relief or not and if it did what conditions it could reasonably impose.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: You are suggesting what sounds pretty reasonable on its face. Anybody have any questions? Oh, it is a Linden tree, by the way. A small leaf Linden. On the paperwork on the Site Plan, I believe it was called --

MR. IRENE: The pool plan.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes. On the minor
survey update, $I$ am going to ask the Applicant, was that drawn up by a site visit from the developer or did they use the original survey that was done when you bought the property?

MR. SEVERIO: I think they used the original survey.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: So then there was not a new survey done?

MR. SEVERIO: Not to my knowledge, no.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: We are going to need another survey to determine the rear property line.

MR. SEVERIO: I guess we are going to have to.

MR. SANTORELLI: The date on the survey is September of 2018 .

MR. IRENE: Signed by a surveyor also. Mine is a photocopy. MR. SEVERIO, does the survey continue to fairly and accurately depict all conditions on the property?

MR. SEVERIO: I believe so.
MR. IRENE: Anything that exists out there is shown on the survey. That is what $I$ am asking you. For instance, if there is something else that might add.

MR. SEVERIO: No. The only thing that was there that's not there no more is a play set that I took off.

MR. IRENE: Anything that exists there
today is shown on the survey.
MR. SEVERIO: It's there.
MR. IRENE: Otherwise, that is going to throw our coverage numbers.

MR. SEVERIO: No, there is no change.
MR. IRENE: Mr. Scatuorchio, you had a question about the rear yard setback?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: No, sir. The rear property line. The Applicant cited, you know, 50 percent ownership of the tree. When I look at it, I don't see things the same way. When I am looking at what $I$ believe to be property corners, but since the tree is not noted on the new application or the new site plan, $I$ can only go by my memory and what $I$ see that is currently posted and existing, so before any fence is put before anything starts, $I$ would request that they redetermine the property line.

Right now, all we have is two corners are posted and we don't know what angles are involved.

MS. HEARD: I think what you are trying to say, and correct me not to put words in your mouth, but you are asking that the Board consider requiring that the rear property line
be staked by a surveyor prior to the start of construction.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes, ma'am.
MR. SEVERIO: Somewhere in the back,
there is a stake there, but $I$ am not exactly sure. He put one over there, but I don't think that is right, but he did.

MR. SANTORELLI: And do you need a
survey to put a fence up?
MS. HEARD: You are required to submit a survey for the application. There is no requirement that you have the surveyor stake the location of the fence. I mean, it is good practice.

MR. IRENE: You wouldn't want to sink the pool and start putting in fences and then find out somebody screwing up the property line.

MR. SEVERIO: Definitely not.
Definitely not.
MS. HEARD: Then you wouldn't want to move the pool.

MR. SEVERIO: No, we definitely don't want to do that.

MR. IRENE: Anything else?
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yeah.

MR. IRENE: Go ahead.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Oh, could you explain the concrete deck surrounding the pool? I don't read plans all that well, but $I$ didn't think that the deck was included in the setbacks. Now, the concrete decking is the little dots on it. When $I$ saw the arrows delineating the rear and side yard setbacks, it went to what looked to me like the edge of the pool and not the deck, which is also more impervious surface. So I am just confused on that point.

MS. HEARD: The Ordinance requires the pool itself to be set back 10 feet from the side property line. Accessory structures are required to be set back the height. I am assuming that the concrete sidewalk is, you know, at grade or a couple of inches above the grass. So technically, it could almost go up to the property line, based on the current Ordinance.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Okay.
MS. HEARD: If it's coverage, it would be over on coverage.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: It is a seven-foot setback.

MR. IRENE: Ten foot because of the
pool.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: My mistake.
MR. IRENE: That is apparently required.
The concrete surround that goes around the pool,
MR. SEVERIO, that is not elevated, is it, on grade?

MR. SEVERIO: It's going to be flush on the pool.

MR. IRENE: So it's on grade.
MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. IRENE: Does that answer your question, Mr. Scatuorchio?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yeah. What are
dimensions of the pool? I must have missed it on the plans.

MR. SANTORELLI: Proposed 16-by-36.
MR. IRENE: It's on there.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Okay. I think I read
it correctly, you are asking for a six-foot fence all the way around?

MR. SEVERIO: Whatever I have to do.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Code is four foot, I think.

MS. HEARD: The Ordinance, the code says
you need four-foot minimum for a pool fence.
The Ordinance permits a side and a rear property line fences to go up to six feet in height. MR. SCATUORCHIO: Okay. Just making sure.

MS. HUGHES: And the plan says, proposed six foot.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Okay. When the property was bought in 2001, I was almost positive at the time because $I$ was at the hearings, it was zoned for a 30 percent impervious surface. Now, you guys discussed that just a few minutes ago, so apparently, I am wrong?

MS. HEARD: No. It is still maximum 30 percent permitted, but they are requesting more.

MR. IRENE: In 2001, there was not a limit.

MS. HEARD: Thirty percent building coverage at that point.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Oh, okay. The variance was requesting they gave him 42-and-a-half percent.

MS. HUGHES: No. It wasn't granted because there was no Ordinance at that time.

MR. IRENE: Wait a minute. Are we talking about two different applications, because it sounded like Mr. Severio was after 2001. Did I misunderstand you? You said, 12 years ago.

MR. SEVERIO: Twelve years ago.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Then my timeline was way off. I took it from another document that $I$ had, but $I$ do recall. I was at the meetings. They were granted a variance for the larger-sized dwelling. And, as it states on the plans, we are at 42-and-a-half percent currently.

MR. IRENE: Mr. Severio testified he received lot coverage variance.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Yes, I remember. I am not arguing that point at all.

MR. IRENE: Okay.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: But the proposal is
48.8. I don't know if the Borough is allowing this density of coverage all over town, but that calls into question the current codes. Given the existing layout of the property, it seems excessive. I mean, it is a 15,000 square foot lot where 25,000 was the code. Variance has
been granted. We are almost at 50 percent lot coverage.

MR. SANTORELLI: If we were at an R10 zone, what would the coverage be, just out of curiosity?

MS. HEARD: Hold on. Oh, it's forty
percent permitted in the R10 zone.
MR. IRENE: Is there an R15?
MS. HUGHES: There is.
MR. IRENE: Is that 15,000 square foot?
MS. HUGHES: I have no idea.
MS. HEARD: Yeah, and I've got the
coverage. Hold on. I've got papers everywhere.
The R22 is 30 percent coverage. R15 is 38
percent impervious coverage. These are all impervious coverages. R10 is 40 , and then the senior housing and the multi-family housing is 50 percent.

MR. VENEZIA: What is the R15 again?
MS. HEARD: R15 is 38 percent.
MS. HUGHES: So, my question is, in
regard to the impervious surface, so I know you said you agreed to protect that tree and $I$ think we have to make sure that the property line is surveyed properly so that when you put the pool
in it winds up in the right spot.
MR. SEVERIO: Right now, I am only
missing one stake. I know where the other one is. If I don't find it, then obviously, I have to get somebody over there to do it again. MS. HUGHES: Okay. Are you willing to make the pool any smaller to help with the impervious?

MR. SEVERIO: If that's what it comes down to, then yes.

MS. HUGHES: Is anyone in agreement that maybe we should try to work out?

MR. LAMARCA: Get it closer?
MS. HUGHES: Yeah, maybe make it a little bit smaller and, you know, well, I don't want to say.

MR. IRENE: The question Mr. Severio, is, have you given any consideration to ways that you can mitigate the proposed excess coverage? That's what the Board is kind of looking at.

MR. SERVARIO: Well, when I first, you know, we got the design of the pool, I didn't think $I$ was going to have a problem with the, you know, with the coverage. I didn't think it
was, but if it comes down to that, you know, it's too big, well, then $I$ have to --

MR. IRENE: If you got a variance for the coverage already then anything above that would have required further variance, right?

MR. SEVERIO: I didn't know, because, you know, when we submit the plan for the pool then that's where $I$ realized, that, you know, I have to have a variance and that's why, you know, but $I$ didn't know before.

MR. IRENE: Again, that is what the
Board is looking at. Is there coverage that can be reduced by eliminating some existing coverage? Can the total proposed coverage be reduced by reducing the pool? So the Board is looking at 42.5 percent that exists right now where 30 percent is the maximum allowed, but you did get a variance for that, apparently. MR. SEVERIO: Yes.

MR. IRENE: I don't have the Resolution, but it says you did. Now you are looking to increase that further.

MR. SEVERIO: It is a very big lot.
There is a lot of, you know, grass there.
MR. IRENE: But the problem is, looking
at the numbers.
MR. SEVERIO: I understand.
MR. IRENE: You are looking to push that up to almost 49 percent, so the Board is going to be looking for, is there existing coverage that can be eliminated to offset the increase and can we reduce the increase by reducing the pool or are you saying we want to stay with the 49 and it's up to the Board to say what they want to do. That's what they would normally look at. They are normally going to ask an Applicant, is there an existing coverage that you can swap out for the proposed coverage, so you can mitigate the proposed increase. Do you follow me?

MR. SEVERIO: Yeah, if there is anything I can do, I will definitely do it.

MS. HEARD: Can $I$ make a suggestion?
MR. IRENE: Sure.
MS. HEARD: Is this something that you might want to consider asking the Board to carry to another meeting, so you can meet with your engineer and your pool company and come up with an alternative plan as opposed to trying to do something that is on the --

MR. IRENE: On the fly.
MR. SEVERIO: As long as, you know, we are not going to make it like a little tub.

MS. HEARD: You have to present something.

MR. SEVERIO: I'm not sure exactly.
MR. IRENE: You are over now.
MR. SEVERIO: I understand. Is there a number you are going to allow me to?

MS. HUGHES: The Board is going to want to know why you can't stay at that number or stay very close to it. That's what they are going to say. Otherwise, people can max out, you know, get a variance to exceed, and come back, and say, I want a pool. I want a tennis court.

MR. SEVERIO: No. No. The pool is the last thing going in.

MR. IRENE: Okay. So that is why they are going to look at it, and say, well, okay, you are over it now. Is there stuff that you can swap out? Can you lose some of the driveway? Can you lose some decking? Can you lose some driveway? Is there things that can be eliminated, so we can hold the number you are at
or greatly reduce the proposed increase by eliminating existing coverage, by shrinking the size of the pool, by a combination. Obviously, if you shrink the pool to 5 feet by 5 feet, you are not going to put in the pool because it's not worth it. But the question is, can you eliminate other coverage then and also reduce the pool? As Ms. Heard said, rather than doing this all on the fly here, is it the kind of thing where you want to ask the Board to carry it, so you can sit down with your engineer and/or your pool company and maybe look at what you might be able to eliminate, figure out how much that might safe you in coverage, see how close you can get, figure out if you shrink the the pool or shrink some of the walkway around it. I don't know if code requires three feet or three feet all the way around. MR. SEVERIO: Right now, that is all I have is two feet.

MR. IRENE: I know that's what's
proposed. I don't know if it requires all the way around.

MS. HUGHES: Does it require?
MS. HEARD: I don't know.

MR. GIGLIO: Yep.
MR. SEVERIO: What if you do paver?
MS. HEARD: That's still coverage.
MR. IRENE: These are the things you can discuss with your engineer and or pool company. Otherwise, it's being done on the fly here and that could cause a problem.

MR. SEVERIO: In that case then $I$ will talk to him and then come back.

MS. HUGHES: Yeah, I think that would be a good idea, $I$ think, then you can drive the boat. You can tell this is what $I$ want to cut out and this is what will work.

MR. SEVERIO: Is there a number you are looking for?

MS. HEARD: Can $I$ make a suggestion?
MR. LAMARCA: Yes.
MS. HEARD: There is other public, I am assuming in the back. Does the Board want to see if there is anyone in the public that might have another concern? This way, the Applicant can kind of --

MR. VENEZIA: Put everything.
MS. HEARD: Put everything together at the same time, other than addressing one concern
and coming back that some other person may have another concern and then --

MR. SANTORELLI: Start over again?
MS. HEARD: Not start over again. Maybe
you can hear everybody's concern and not closing the public, but hear what the other concerns are.

MR. LAMARCA: Sounds like a plan.
MR. IRENE: Anybody else in the audience that wants to be heard?

MS. IPSEN: Marie Ipsen. I live at 70 Summers Avenue.
(Marie Ispen, sworn.)
MR. IRENE: So, you are at 70 Summers.
Which side are you facing?
MS. ISPEN: On the east side.
MR. IRENE: What is it? Either you want to ask questions or make comments.

MS. ISPEN: Make comments, I guess.
MR. IRENE: Okay.
MS. ISPEN: The water, there is always water in the street and the hose sometimes gets onto my property and my lawn man can't cut my grass on the west side, which is close to them, because it is saturated with the water. I've
moved it a couple of times and lately it's not there. It's now pointed to the street. There is always water in the street, whether it's raining or not. There is always water coming out. And if you're going to put a pool there, there is lots of water underneath the land and $I$ don't know if you're going to go that deep that they are going to get water again and where is that water going to flow? Water flows. Is it going to flow into my yard and $I$ am going to have a river on my yard? I just have questions. That is it.

MR. SANTORELLI: Okay.
MR. IRENE: Anybody else?
MS. WHITFORD: My name is Ellen
Whitford.
(Ellen Whitford, sworn.)
MS. WHITFORD: And I am here. Well, I
had spoken with Mr. Scatuorchio and I am on the Shade Tree Commission. I don't live on Summer.

MR. IRENE: You are on the Shade Tree Commission?

MS. WHITFORD: In town, yes. So I did walk the property and $I$ did look at the tree and I just had a question. How close is the
pool going to be to the tree, okay, but it sounds like you already have a problem with water in the yard and $I$ just want to point out that cutting the tree roots will definitely affect the tree. So when you cut, that umbrella, as Mr. Scatuorchio had spoken extends 25 percent into the yard, so because the tree is about 60 feet high, those tree roots are already well into the yard. So you are going to cut the roots and the concrete, it's going to affect the tree. The tree has tremendous value in stabilizing the water table in that water, those roots are taking up a lot of water right now and it's amazing that you have a water problem, because you got that tree to help you. If you start damaging that tree, it works both ways. I mean, not just aesthetically, but it's going to affect the water table. Not just because of the concrete, but because of the tree roots. You are going to have less tree roots soaking up the water. I just wanted to point that out. MR. IRENE: Thank you. MR. VENEZIA: My concern was, you know, the water table in that area. I didn't know we had a 12-foot basement and you are going to hit
water in most areas of West Long Branch would.
I thought it was like a crawl space.
MR. SEVERIO: On that street, there is three peoples that have a problem with the water, me, the guy, $I$ don't know his name, but only three person and the one $I$ got, $I$ guess, one of the worst one and the last house on the street, you drive by over there and you are going to see a hose over there all of the time. MR. IRENE: Are you allowed to tie into the storm water system? Are you allowed to sink the pipe? Not the sanitary sewer, but the storm sewer. I don't know.

MS. HEARD: You have to get permission from the Borough Commissioner.

MR. IRENE: Did you ever ask?
MR. SEVERIO: No.
MR. VENEZIA: It would take that
unsightly hose off.
MR. SEVERIO: Look, the only reason
that's there $I$ had to. I don't like it. It cost me money running that pump. I wish I didn't have that problem, but $I$ do. I have to deal with that.

MR. IRENE: Either way, it's running to
the storm sewer, because it's running down the street into the storm sewer. If you can tie in or sink it and have it come out on the curb, it still would be in the street. It would not be affecting the neighbor. You pipe it. It would be something that would be moving, run it underground and come out the curb. MS. HEARD: You might want to talk to the town.

MR. SEVERIO: Then you have to cut it on the street, right?

MR. VENEZIA: Cut the curb.
MS. HEARD: It can't go out through the curb. It would have to be piped through some type of drainage system.

MR. SEVERIO: That would be the sewer line, right?

MS. HEARD: The storm sewer.
MR. IRENE: Not the sanitary sewer.
Couldn't cut the sidewalk?
MS. HEARD: Well, you could cut the sidewalk and run it behind the curb. The problem is, you can't have a hose or a pipe coming out through the curb and discharging into the street.

MR. SANTORELLI: Even if it's flush with the curb.

MS. HEARD: It is not allowed.
MR. LAMARCA: It's not allowed.
MS. HEARD: It's not allowed to go out through the curb. You could install piping behind the curb to get to, I don't know where the nearest drainage is in the neighborhood. MR. SEVERIO: Not close at all.

MR. LAMARCA: I see pipes coming through the curb.

MS. HEARD: You are not supposed to have them.

MR. VENEZIA: They were old ones. Old day.s

MR. IRENE: Any other interested parties have any other comments?

MR. IRENE: Gentleman in the back, would you give us your name and your address?

MR. JULIANO: Nick Juliano, 76 Summers
Avenue, West Long Branch. J-U-L-I-A-N-O.
MR. IRENE: You are on the other side?
MR. JULIANO: Yes.
MR. IRENE: You are on the --
MR. JULIANO: West side.
(Nick Juliano, sworn.)
MR. JULIANO: My basement is about
eight, 8'6" deep and I've been there since 1976
and I've yet to have water in the basement.
MR. VENEZIA: That extra three or four
feet makes a big difference.
MS. IPSEN: I don't have any water.
MR. JULIANO: That tree, it is a
beautiful tree, but it's been there probably since the early '40s. I am basing that off of the information $I$ got from the Dudleys when they lived in the house that Caesar lives in now. The water, the water problem there in the back where Frank's property runs in the back, there used to be a stream that ran through there and somebody covered it over. Whoever had stripped all of the soil off of that property back there, so I don't know what happened to it, but that's why there is a lot of water in the area. Most of the area back there Caesar's yard, mine, just about all sand, so it percs right through. So I have no objection with him, with what he wants done.

MR. IRENE: Okay. Anybody else? You had another comment?

MR. VENEZIA: I do have a question of Mr. Juliano.

MR. JULIANO: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: Do you have any problem with the aesthetics of the garage or the shed that he has?

MR. JULIANO: Do you want me to answer that bluntly? When the Dudleys lived there, I had an 18-wheeler in the driveway, okay? That was there for years.

MR. VENEZIA: Well, seeing --
MR. JULIANO: His place is beautiful. that is all $I$ can say. It doesn't bother me.

MR. VENEZIA: Once again, your property also has a garage closer to the line, which is okay, because it was well pre-approved many years ago. It is an existing condition. My thought was, if they moved that shed back to that property line, but then, again, the pool is all off.

MR. JULIANO: Yeah.
MR. VENEZIA: So that wouldn't work. And we still have the problem with the shed. The shed is still, you know, were you ever summonsedhad for that and did you have to go to
court on that?
MR. SEVERIO: No.
MR. VENEZIA: Have you taken care of the stop construction notice?

MS. HEARD: Well, that is why he is here.

MR. IRENE: He either needs a variance or he has to move.

MR. VENEZIA: We still have that major issue here.

MR. SEVERIO: Somewhere, you know, was a mistake because nobody said to me. Otherwise, the shed wouldn't be there, because I don't have that much of a space over there.

MR. VENEZIA: You certainly don't.
MR. SEVERIO: That's where the shed was always supposed to be. I made a plan for that. I have a permit and $I$ have a plan for that. And I think, you know, when the inspector, when he came in, the only reason he didn't give me the okay is because you look at the front of the shed and the driveway it looks very small there, but it's actually five-and-a-half, almost six right there. That's where I thought I was supposed to be six. I am just shy of six.

MR. VENEZIA: I do stand corrected, it is towards the back of your property.

MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. IRENE: That driveway on that side where the shed is, did your engineer or your pool, when you guys were laying this out, give any consideration maybe eliminating that coverage there. Do you use that in front of the shed?

MR. SEVERIO: Well, that is I park my van, my working van.

MR. IRENE: Well, is there an attached garage?

MR. SEVERIO: No. My garage is just a regular garage.

MR. IRENE: It is attached to the house.
MR. SEVERIO: Attached, yes.
MR. IRENE: That is where the driveway
comes in on the --
MR. SEVERIO: Where you see the round part of the driveway.

MR. IRENE: Right.
MR. SEVERIO: That is another thing, too, when I built the house, they made me put the house 40 feet back from the street.

MR. IRENE: Uh-huh.
MR. SEVERIO: Which I don't think right now you have to do that anymore. And $I$ have so much land in the front of the house. Otherwise, I would just have a driveway straight, but, you know.

MR. IRENE: One of the problems, that driveway is horseshoe shaped, isn't it?

MR. SEVERIO: Uh-huh.
MR. IRENE: That contributes to --
MR. SANTORELLI: A lot of your coverage.
MR. IRENE: A lot of your coverage, in addition to the house itself. That, and the deck. I don't know how much of that can be removed, but that is the kind of thing that you may have to look at to get your numbers down.

MR. SANTORELLI: You can remove the driveway and consider reworking that a little.

MR. VENEZIA: But is there any other concern besides myself about the shed? As we said, if you're going to come back, we don't want you to come back and forth. We want you to know what our concerns are.

MS. HUGHES: I don't know if we can be concerned with the shed. It's not in front of
us.
MR. IRENE: It is.
MR. SANTORELLI: It has to be now.
MR. IRENE: It's part of the
application.
MS. HUGHES: Okay. I didn't know that.
MR. IRENE: Obviously, you could move it somewhere else. You could leave it there and hope the Board grants you relief. You could propose maybe putting in a kind of a row of arborvitaes on the west side of it to try and screen it, even though your neighbor say he has no objections to soften the deficient setback. There is different things you can do to mitigate any adverse visual impact. What is it, 12 feet deep?

MR. SEVERIO: It's 20-by-10.
MR. IRENE: That is not that big of a span before you hit your fence anyway. That kind of softens the fact that it's not setback enough. Different things you can think about, because the Board has got to be grappling with these kind of variances. The big one is the lot coverage. You are proposing, in honor of Mr. Schulz, who was up here on the last application,
you are proposing 48.8 over 30 , right? That is 163 percent. That is two-thirds again of what is allowed. It is a big deviation and the Board has got to be concerned about not only what it does to surface water flow, You know, when it rains, forget about your underground situation, but when it rains, where is this water going to go? And it also has to be concerned about aesthetics. A large piece of the property is going to be covered with grass. That is what the Board is grappling with is 42.5 and now you are looking to bump that even higher. Any other questions from interested parties or comments before the Board figures out if they are going to carry it over not? Mr. Scatuorchio?

MR. SCATUORCHIO: My further question was, $I$ don't know anything about pool maintenance. Do pools get drained to some degree on an annual basis?

MS. HUGHES: No.
MR. IRENE: What happens when you
backwash it?
MR. GIGLIO: You could drop the water below the skimmer every winter and you need to blow the lines out every winter. So, the water
has to be dropped below the skimmer, the skimmer has to be capped off. The outside jets have to be --

MS. HUGHES: The skimmer? How many
inches?
MR. GIGLIO: Two feet.
MR. IRENE: Don't you have to backwash the pool?

MR. GIGLIO: Nope. The water has to be blown out of the lines, they have to be capped off airtight. A vacuum has to get put into them, the pump has to pump two feet of the water out below the skimmer. The skimmer has to be capped off.

MR. IRENE: Where does the water go when you pump it out?

MR. GIGLIO: To the outside onto your property.

MR. IRENE: Does the code provide how that has to be done? No?

MR. GIGLIO: No, there is nothing on there.

MR. IRENE: It's going to be pumped out over the lawn presumably.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: As we were referencing
rainwater and as $I$ could say the pad around the pool, the pool is 10 foot off the property line, with about three foot of concrete padding which leaves, approximately, seven feet to my property line and we are, approximately, three to four feet below the grade of the subject property, so isn't -- I don't know, don't pools overflow? They don't overflow?

MR. GIGLIO: I've had a pool now for
almost eight years it never flowed. I also have a pump during the wintertime.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: I'm sorry?
MR. GIGLIO: I put a pump in my pool in the wintertime. That way, in case the sides come up the liner, it gets pumped out. The worst thing you want is to have the water go up and above your liner. That is a no, no in this world. You want to keep it three inches below the top at all times.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: What was the other question? I don't know how deep down they are going, but is there any chance the water pressure is going to come up?

MS. RICH: Come up from the street?
MR. IRENE: Do you know how deep the
pool is going to be?
MR. SEVERIO: Five, a little over five.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: Is that right at the bottom, five foot?

MS. HUGHES: Yeah, that is typically
what they are.
MR. SCATUORCHIO: I guess that is about it.

MR. IRENE: Sir? Mr. Juliano?
MR. JULIANO: I know with my pool, I put
out 125 feet of two-inch hose when $I$ backwash the pool twice a year, once when $I$ open it up and once when $I$ close it and that runs all the way out to the street.

MR. LAMARCA: And how deep is your pool?
MR. JULIANO: Eight foot six.
MR. VENEZIA: Is it in line with his
pool, his proposed pool?
MR. JULIANO: Let's put it this way, you have to jump over the garage and over about 10 or 12 feet, but yeah.

MR. SANTORELLI: You are on the back of the property line then?

MR. SEVERIO: He is facing this way. My house is going to be this way.

MR. IRENE: Mr. Juliano, how far is your pool located from your rear property line? Do you know?

MR. JULIANO: I don't know, 15, 20 feet, maybe.

MR. VENEZIA: You didn't have the disturbance of that old stream?

MR. JULIANO: No. The stream is beyond what I call the Marist property, which was behind ours. The only reason $I$ knew about the stream was Dudleys, who lived next-door, they had been there, $I$ don't know how many years, they said that stream used to run through there until they named the fellow who bought the property and then stripped the property of all of the topsoil.

MR. SCATUORCHIO: Just a point of information regarding the water, the house at 264 Monmouth Road, our house, don't ask me why, there is not a drop of water in that basement. We've been very fortunate over the years. But when you were referencing earlier on DeForrest, the old Irons house, gentleman has at least one, if not two garden hoses running into the storm drain only 360 days a year. Poor guy. It is a
horrible flood. That is what owe that bamboo forest that got started by Mr. Irons down on DeForrest. His place was an old barn. It was a swamp in the back. Many years ago, he planted bamboo. It sucked up a lot of the water. Unfortunately, it's migrated. I managed to keep it at bay, because $I$ stopped it at our rear property.

MR. IRENE: So, are we to the point where, Mr. Severio, you want to ask the Board to carry this, so you can sit down with your engineer --

MR. SEVERIO: I would like to.
MR. IRENE: -- or your pool guy and see
if he can work the numbers.
MR. SEVERIO: Yes, please.
MR. IRENE: You heard the comments of the Board and the interested parties.

MR. SEVERIO: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: Concern about the tree
being fenced around, possibly the water on the, you know, to figure out the water on the side of your house?

MR. SEVERIO: If you guys allow me to put it in the sewer, $I$ will do it.

MS. HEARD: You should talk to the Borough.

MR. LAMARCA: Who could answer the question for him? Jim?

MS. HEARD: No. No.
MR. SEVERIO: Long Branch.
MS. HEARD: No. You would have to come in and talk to them to grant you permission to tie in.

MR. VENEZIA: Anyone, in particular?
Any department?
MS. HEARD: Either Public Works or the Administrator. One or the other.

MR. SEVERIO: Let me ask you a question, if, for some reason, they say you are not allowed to do that, what do I do? I mean, I have the water.

MR. IRENE: The situation is what the situation is, right? I mean, it is a question if that could be improved.

MR. VENEZIA: A little well in the front underground and put the water five feet deep. MR. IRENE: It's got no where to where to go.

MS. HUGHES: Then you continue doing it
the way you are doing it.
MR. SEVERIO: I don't like the idea that the water runs that way. I don't like it. I don't think it looks good.

MS. HUGHES: You have no choice.
MR. SEVERIO: Either it goes over there or it stays inside my basement.

MR. LAMARCA: You have a new indoor swimming pool.

MR. IRENE: Meet with the administrator and speak your concerns. They may refer you to the Borough Engineer.

MS. HEARD: Or Public Works.
MR. IRENE: Does the Board want to consider carrying this to the next date?

MS. DEGENARO: May $23 r d$.
MS. HUGHES: I would make a motion to carry this application to the next meeting which is May $23 r d$.

MR. IRENE: Without the necessity of re-Notice and with the Applicant stipulating an extension of time in which the Board has to act. You are going to grant us approval to carry it for you. Yes?

MR. SEVERIO: Yes.

MS. DEGENARO: Robert Venezia?
MR. VENEZIA: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Pamela Hughes?
MS. HUGHES: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Santorelli?
MR. SANTORELLI: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Scott LaMarca?
MR. LAMARCA: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Giglio?
MR. GIGLIO: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: August Stohl?
MR. STOHL: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Gregg Malfa?
MR. MALFA: Yes.
MR. IRENE: Any interested parties, the
matter is being carried to that date, May 23,
2019. Same place, same time. You will get no
further notice. Please mark your calendar accordingly. Thank you.

MR. VENEZIA: Start with the
Resolutions. We are going with Cipriano, 36 Orchard.

MR. IRENE: Motion on that? Anybody want to make a motion?

MR. VENEZIA: Any comments on it? Any
corrections or additions?
MR. SANTORELLI: Cipriano.
MR. VENEZIA: Seven-page Resolution.
MS. HUGHES: No. I make no comments.
MR. STOHL: I will make a motion.
MR. GIGLIO: I will second it.
MS. DEGENARO: Robert Venezia?
MR. VENEZIA: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Micahel Schulz?
MR. SCHULZ: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Pamela Hughes?
MS. HUGHES: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Santorelli?
MR. SANTORELLI: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Giglio?
MR. GIGLIO: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: August Stohl?
MR. STOHL: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: The second Resolution is
the Dedeyn 18 Franklin Parkway. It is an
eight-page Resolution. Approval of a bulk
variance relief.

MR. IRENE: This was the pool remember where the fence encroached.

MR. VENEZIA: This is eight-page

Resolution. Any corrections? Additions?
Comments?
MR. SCHULZ: I have a comment and/or a
question. Point of order, somebody told me this
party is moving out of the house or the house is
sold or in the process of being sold. Is it
finalized here tonight or was it finalized when we voted on it?

MR. IRENE: You voted on it. When you voted on it, that was the action. This simply memorializes.

MR. SCHULZ: The action was if he owned the house then that's the way it's going to stand.

MR. IRENE: Yeah, it runs with the land.
The approval runs with the land and it is conditioned on him doing certain things. If he or his successor in interest doesn't do those things then he has got other issues.

MR. SCHULZ: Alright.
MR. VENEZIA: Any other comments?
MS. HUGHES: No.
MR. STOHL: Motion to accept the
Resolution as presented.
MS. HUGHES: I will second that motion.

MS. DEGENARO: Robert Venezia?
MR. VENEZIA: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Michael Schulz?
MR. SCHULZ: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Pamela Hughes?
MS. HUGHES: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Santorelli?
MR. SANTORELLI: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: Paul Giglio?
MR. GIGLIO: Yes.
MS. DEGENARO: August Stohl?
MR. STOHL: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: Alright. The
administrative section is New Jersey Storm Water Review Training.

MS. HEARD: Yeah, it was just a
question. I know that one person on the end over here, because he keeps bringing it up has completed the storm water training that Mike had emailed out. I want to remind everybody they have to get that done and get that certification in. The DEP is going to be coming in and doing an inspection and if you didn't have the training done you could get yourselves in a little bit of trouble.

MR. LAMARCA: Last year?
MS. HEARD: The one was from last.
MR. SANTORELLI: I still have to take
it. Is it in the email?
MS. DEGENARO: Are you getting it?
MR. SANTORELLI: I am getting it now.
MR. IRENE: You will forward it to
everyone?
MS. DEGENARO: Yes.
MR. VENEZIA: We just have to say yes, we reviewed this.

MR. IRENE: I gave you a certification.
Chris Ann will bring them in. You could sign off on that and if the DEP comes knocking, she'll have it.

MR. SANTORELLI: Chris Ann, you are
going to resend?
MS. DEGENARO: Yes. The link or the certification?

MR. IRENE: If they are separate, I thought they were all on one email. If they are separate, send both of them. If you have a problem, call me and $I$ will send them.

MS. HUGHES: I have another comment. Has everyone done their financial disclosure
that is due April 30th?
MR. IRENE: Yeah, you have to do it, if you didn't.

MR. SCHULZ: If you didn't, Lori is very helpful.

MS. HEARD: But if you don't do it, you are very likely to get a fine.

MR. STOHL: It is like a $\$ 250$ fine.
MS. HEARD: You may want to, if you
haven't yet, print the certification page saying. Last year, I guess, like in December they came back and told me I didn't do my certification and they were going to hit me with the fine, but $I$ had a page, nope, I am done. Screen shot or something.

MR. IRENE: Lori should have sent you each the reminder. Once you've done it once, once you log in again, it usually brings all of your stuff right up. If nothing has changed, you can kind of click through and verify and do it. Yeah, the storm water training email was June 10 of 2018. So, again, Chris Ann, if you have it, if you can forward with that certification send me an email and then $I$ will forward it.

